

Proposed comments to the Round Table December 1, 2021

From: Peter Grace

City: Brisbane

Date: 11/29/21

Item: Item not on the Agenda as far as I can see

At the 11/24/21 Technical Working Group, Bert Ganoung announced that the method of recording Noise would be changed to ANEEM. Mr. Widmer, this was what we have been asking for. Thank you. We also thank everyone who helped get the method changed and Bert Ganoung for changing.

We have another request. We need a public discussion of the capabilities of ANEEM. Its capabilities inform what could be reported in the Director's Report, the Noise equivalent of the audited financial statements of a public company.

We have a choice. We can ask for reports based on the capabilities of the flip phone, the old method or the latest iPhone, ANEEM.

The Public needs to understand how the ANEEM process works, its assumptions and its capabilities. The five-minute presentation at the Technical Working Group, was insufficient.

As members of the Round Table, please request a public discussion of the assumptions and capabilities of ANEEM. Its capabilities inform what could be reported in the Director's Report, the Noise equivalent of the audited financial statements of a public company.

From: [Patrick Tainter](#)
To: [Angela Montes](#)
Cc: terryoconnell@brisbaneca.org; [Grace Peter](#)
Subject: Comment on an Item Not On The Agenda for the 1 December 2021 SFO Round Table Meeting
Date: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:43:37 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear SFO Round Table,

I would like to make a comment on an Item Not On The Agenda for the 1 December 2021 SFO Round Table Meeting, as follows,

As a Brisbane resident, my family and I have been strongly and adversely impacted by the implementation of the NextGen flight procedures. It has been particularly frustrating that SFO noise data and noise studies in Brisbane, including near my home, have not accurately reflected the actual impact on people that the NextGen disturbance has caused. The SFO noise data and studies have significantly and consistently understated that impact.

It is my understanding that SFO will soon transition to the ANEEM method of aircraft noise tracking. It also my understanding that this method more accurately reflects the impact that aircraft noise has on residents on the ground.

I fully support this change at SFO.

However, at the same time and given my past experience with SFO and noise, I have limited trust in SFO to implement the system so that the impact on people is accurately and transparently recorded and reported to the public in a timely manner and on regular basis.

Therefore, I request that all aspects and parameters of the new system be fully presented to the public and vetted in public discussions so that we, and our own experts, can ensure that the new system will meet the public's need for accurate and transparent reporting of aircraft noise as it relates to the impact on people on the ground.

Thank You and Regards,

Patrick Tainter
Brisbane, CA

From: [William Bray](#)
To: [Angela Montes](#)
Subject: Public discussion of ANEEM system
Date: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:23:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Those of us in Rockaway Beach, as well as everyone under the new FAA flight paths, have suffered for many years under the roar of jet noise, yet none of our thousands of our complaints have been acknowledged, much less acted upon. We, my many neighbors and I, strongly suggest that the capabilities of the ANEEM system be scheduled for public discussion. We need to understand the capabilities of this new system, hopefully with an eye toward relieving our discomfort and restoring a more equitable airplane vectoring system.

Bill Bray
Rockaway Beach, Pacifica

From: [barbra bouchard](#)
To: [Angela Montes](#)
Cc: terryoconnell@ci.brisbane.ca.us
Subject: Comment for 12/1/21 SFO Community Roundtable meeting
Date: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:08:52 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Members of SFO Community Roundtable-

I wish to submit a comment for an item that is NOT on the 12/1/21 agenda, but am unable to attend the video conference meeting due to commitments with my elementary school-aged children.

I am writing concerning the proposed adoption of the ANEEM platform for aircraft noise analysis. I understand that this platform has the capability to more accurately identify and measure aircraft noise exposure in communities surrounding SFO. I request that the SFO Community Roundtable provide opportunities for public disclosure and discussion on the capabilities of this new platform so that impacted communities may have input into the types of data that will be reported back to us.

Thank you for your consideration.

Barbra Bouchard
326 Humboldt Rd.
Brisbane, CA

From: [Carolyn Kincaid](#)
To: [Angela Montes](#)
Subject: Request re:ANEEM
Date: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:21:07 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hi,

I am a resident of San Francisco's Excelsior district and I have been affected adversely by the FAA NextGen program for the past 4 years.

I am writing to request that the capabilities of ANEEM be discussed in a public meeting. I realize this is not an item that is currently on the Round Table Agenda but I would like to see it added there sooner rather than later. I know that I am not alone in wanting to hear about the capabilities of the new method of counting noise events (ANEEM).

As someone who is woken up by low loud planes nightly as well as daily work disturbances from low loud planes I would love to see a system that actually measures the experience of people on the ground under these flight paths. The system that has been in place for counting and measuring noise events has not been at all reflective of what the experience is actually like.

Thank you,
Carolyn Kincaid
1 Prague St
SF, CA 94112

From: [Charlie Wambeke](#)
To: [Angela Montes](#)
Cc: [City and County of San Francisco](#)
Subject: Commenting on an item not on Round Table Agenda
Date: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:57:49 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

I am from San Francisco's Excelsior District

I request that the capabilities of the new method of counting Noise Events, ANEEM be discussed in Public

We need to know the new method capabilities to decide what the Public wants to see in the Directors Report

I have lost faith in the current reports as they do not reflect your experience on the Ground

Charlie Wambeke
Melody Chan Doss-Wambeke
175 Naples St
San Francisco CA 94112-2010

From: [Jim](#)
To: [Angela Montes](#)
Subject: RE: SFORT - Please Agendize the ANEEM system if possible.
Date: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:59:33 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Mrs Cardenas,

I hope I have your name correct. I am writing as a concerned citizen of Brisbane to ask that the new system of reporting airport noise known as the "Aircraft Noise Event Extraction Methodology" ("ANEEM") be formally agendized and open to public discussion by the SFO Airport Community Roundtable ("SFORT") at the next regular meeting and/or as soon as possible. I do not see this item on the agenda at the moment (may have missed it) and am commenting on it within this letter.

A open, and public, discussion of the ANEEM system is critical for the public, as well as SFORT, to understand how the system is to be implemented and to better ensure that data is collected, presented and utilized in a manner which reflects the noise levels experiences by effected citizens. A collaborative process should be encouraged and is absolutely necessary to ensure a relationship of trust with all the parties involved. The ANEEM data will undoubtedly be presented in the Airport Director's Reports. These reports present an account to the public of the Airports operations and their impact on neighboring communities. Quite frankly, in my opinion, these reports have left much to be desired and do not reflect what people experience on the ground: the ANEEM system should help to rectify this. As stated above, there must be an open process of full engagement with the SFORT and the public; faith in the Roundtable depends on this.

Please feel at liberty to circulate/publish this message to members of SFORT and other interested parties. Thank you so much for you help. Also, please let me know who else, you believe, I should contact regarding this item.

Truly yours,

Jim Charas (Brisbane, CA)