

**From:** [Jennifer Landesmann](#)  
**To:** [Angela Montes](#)  
**Cc:** [Bert Ganoung \(AIR\)](#); [ereindel@hmmh.com](mailto:ereindel@hmmh.com)  
**Subject:** Public comment for 12/1 meeting- GLS CATEX documents inquiry  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 30, 2021 5:59:20 AM

---

**CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.**

---

Dear SF Roundtable,

The following is a request to the GBAS team to please share the Catex documents they partially revealed as part of a graphic on a recent SFO GBAS presentation, but that the FAA has not published or made available to the public.

At the recent SF Roundtable Technical SubCommittee, I expressed concerns that the FAA is invisible in the GBAS process. My question about FAA involvement was answered as follows by the GBAS presenter, my bold:

...To the question about FAA procedure, FAA involvement in the procedures. I just wanted to say that **there are FAA participants involved with our sub-flight procedures subcommittee meeting and they have been from the beginning they continue to support us in making sure that whatever we come up with can be implemented. (A), and it can be used later (B)** so they (FAA) are, they're with us. We brief them internally, kind of as a subcommittee on how our conversations are going with the community and we take that feedback, and they hear it too. And so we all work together on key past projects. So I'm sorry it's a short answer, but that's what I think we'd like to do for today.

First, the FAA's responsibility is not to solely support the GBAS team made up of FAA's industry partners but to also support communities directly (including with the many improvements for public outreach that the FAA represented to the Government Accountability Office that they can do for PBN procedures, which GBAS is).

The overlays (so called identicals) as you are aware are the only procedures that the FAA's procedures subcommittee has deemed A) can be implemented and B) "used later" - these have preliminarily shown noise increases and it's highly unlikely that the professionals who do flight procedures can answer or care about environmental questions because that is not their expertise or charge.

It's been a long almost 4 years asking questions about GBAS NEPA review with no credible answers and I hope that your organization will have some curiosity about how it is that several PBN procedures are being published in December and the GBAS team has National Environmental Policy documents but the public that stands to be affected has no idea what is happening. If you understand what is happening or have an explanation, it would be welcome information. Thank you,

Jennifer

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jennifer Landesmann** <[jlandesmann@gmail.com](mailto:jlandesmann@gmail.com)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2021 at 14:40  
Subject: GLS CATEX documents inquiry  
To: <[SFO.GBAS@flysfo.com](mailto:SFO.GBAS@flysfo.com)>  
Cc: <[Elliott.Black@faa.gov](mailto:Elliott.Black@faa.gov)>

Hello GBAS team,

Today the SFO Roundtable posted their [Agenda for their November 24th meeting](#) a GBAS presentation that includes a partial picture of [a CATEX declaration for several GLS procedures \(slide attached\)](#).

The date of this NEPA declaration is not visible; neither is the part at the end of a CATEX that says right to appeal; nor any CATEX document. When I follow the links, what I encounter is [this link](#) - a pdf print out [here](#) (not the CATEX document). Last we heard from the FAA, and per your presentation, the NEPA review is going to December, which is what your [timeline](#) suggests, so this doesn't square.

As you are aware, citizens have serious concerns with the way the FAA and the SFO RT are handling the NEPA process, per this [letter](#) and at your last presentation in Palo Alto, I pretty much begged for process options that don't leave citizens with a final order, just waving our hands, as the FAA rides on without any appearance or public outreach on a project that SFO committed to transparency and in February 2018 at the UC Davis Noise and Emissions Symposium FAA Airports Division Elliot Black (copied here) presented and committed to *Enhanced Community Involvement* for PBN - so far, this has been anything but for GBAS, the FAA has been completely invisible.

Can you please share the CATEX documents?

Thank you,

Jennifer